

Lecture 11: Fine Mapping

Genetics & Genomics Winter School Module 1

Alesha Hatton





What is fine-mapping?

An approach to identify and prioritise SNPs driving GWAS association signals





Why don't we take the top associated SNP?



Red – causal variant



0.3



Simplistic fine-mapping example



Fine mapping attempts to do the reverse..



Many fine-mapping methods

Majority of methods follow a Bayesian framework

• prior × data = posterior

E.g. Prior knowledge of distribution of true SNP effects

Methods

- BIMBAM (Servin and Stephens, 2007)
- CAVIAR (Hormozdiari, 2014)
- CAVIARBF (Chen, 2015)
- FINEMAP (Benner, 2016)
- PAINTOR (Kichaev, 2014)
- SuSiE (Wang 2020)



400

600

800

200

0

1000



How does this work?





Posterior inclusion probability (PIP)



Probability (according to the model) the variant is casual

 $\operatorname{PIP}_{i} = \operatorname{Pr}(b_{j} \neq 0 \mid X, Y)$

Ŷ PIP = more confidence↓ PIP = less likely to be driving signal



Credible Sets (CS)



A set of putative causal variants for further investigation.

- Sorting PIP for each SNPs in descending order
- Cumulatively sum until reach the threshold

Formal Definition

Smallest set of SNPs with >95% probability of containing a <u>single causal</u> variant



Multiple causal variants

Most newer methods allow for the assumption of multiple causal variants

Multiple credible sets

 Smallest set of SNPs with >95% probability of containing a <u>single causal</u> variant

In general, the more independent signals, the less statistical power to detect credible sets

Specify minimum correlation between SNPs allowed within a credible set





Factors influence fine-mapping performance

- The local LD structure
- Sample size
- Number of causal SNPs in a region
- LD reference matches the data
- · Whether the causal variants are measured

20 SNPs



Example:

- 20 SNPs
- All SNPs have equal LD (x-axis)
- One causal SNP (R² 1%)
- PIP of causal SNP on yaxis



Integrate functional annotation

Use our "prior" knowledge about the SNPs

- 1. Estimates prior causal probabilities for all SNPs using functional annotations
- 2. Perform fine-mapping using these prior causal probabilities.

Methods: PolyFun, PAINTOR, fastPAINTOR, CAVIARBF





Cross-ancestry fine-mapping

- Utilise populations of different ancestries to prioritise SNPs
- Relies on the assumption that causal variants are shared between populations (generally supported in literature).
- Leveraging differences in LD between populations
- Methods: SuSiEx, MS-Caviar



Example







Sum of Single Effects (SuSiE)

Methods for fine-mapping multiple causal variants sets

For each causal variant $\boldsymbol{b}_i = (b_{l1}, ..., b_{lJ})$ single effect vector For multiple causal variants, sums over multiple vectors of single effects $\boldsymbol{b} = \sum \boldsymbol{b}_i$

Iterative Bayesian stepwise selection

• Can quantify uncertainty in variables selected

Outputs 95% credible sets with PIPs for each SNP





Genetic Mapping Session 11 - Fine-mapping

Alesha Hatton UQWS 2023

Objective

The goal of fine-mapping is to prioritise SNPs that are most likely to be causal (or in LD with causal variants).

In this practical session will apply the Sum of Single Effects (SuSiE) fine-mapping method to investigate the genetic effects underlying height.

We will use summary statistics from Wood et al (2014). This is a GWAS meta-analysis of adult height from 79 studies consisting of 253,288 individuals of European ancestry. This study identified 697 SNPs that reached genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) that were approximately conditionally independent. We will fine-map some of the regions surrounding these loci.