

Polygenic prediction incorporating functional annotations

Jian Zeng



Institute for Molecular Bioscience



Functional genomic annotations



Functional genomic annotations provide orthogonal information which can be used to improve polygenic prediction.

- Chromatin states
- Biological functions
- Pathways
- Context dependent
- Molecular quantitative trait loci (xQTL)
- LD and MAF
- etc





Help interpret the association signal





Chromosome 16 (Mb)

Functional genetic architecture





Opportunities/challenges



Functional annotations are informative on both the presence of causal variants and the distribution of causal effect sizes.





Separate the causal variants from non-causal SNPs in high LD. However, variant annotation and effect may discord if the causal variant is not observed.



Literature



nature communications

Explore content \checkmark About the journal \checkmark Publish with us \checkmark

<u>nature</u> > <u>nature communications</u> > <u>articles</u> > article

Article | Open Access | Published: 18 October 2021

Incorporating functional priors improves polygenic prediction accuracy in UK Biobank and 23andMe data sets

<u>Carla Márquez-Luna</u> [⊡], <u>Steven Gazal</u>, <u>Po-Ru Loh</u>, <u>Samuel S. Kim</u>, <u>Nicholas Furlotte</u>, <u>Adam Auton</u>, <u>23andMe Research Team</u> & <u>Alkes L. Price</u> [⊡]

LDpredFunct method

PLOS COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY

🔓 OPEN ACCESS 🖻 PEER-REVIEWED

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Leveraging functional annotations in genetic risk prediction for human complex diseases

Yiming Hu 🔤, Qiongshi Lu 🔤, Ryan Powles, Xinwei Yao, Can Yang, Fang Fang, Xinran Xu, Hongyu Zhao 🖾

AnnoPred method

Winner's Curse Correction and Variable Thresholding Improve Performance of Polygenic Risk Modeling Based on Genome-Wide Association Study Summary-Level Data

Jianxin Shi 🔄, Ju-Hyun Park, Jubao Duan, Sonja T. Berndt, Winton Moy, Kai Yu, Lei Song, William Wheeler, Xing Hua, Debra Silverman, Montserrat Garcia-Closas, Chao Agnes Hsiung, Jonine D. Figueroa, [...], Nilanjan Chatterjee 🖾 [view all]

P+T-funct-LASSO method

Exploiting biological priors and sequence variants enhances QTL discovery and genomic prediction of complex traits

I. M. MacLeod ^[], P. J. Bowman, C. J. Vander Jagt, M. Haile-Mariam, K. E. Kemper, A. J. Chamberlain, C. Schrooten, B. J. Hayes & M. E. Goddard

BMC Genomics 17, Article number: 144 (2016) | Cite this article 6209 Accesses | 146 Citations | 9 Altmetric | Metrics

BayesRC method

Need prediction methods that can simultaneously fit all SNPs and learn weights of annotations from the data.

Low-rank model (fits 7M SNPs or more)



In each quasi-independent LD block:



It only requires the top 20% eigenvalues to explain 99.5% of the variance in LD!





annotation effect ~ $N(0, \sigma_{\alpha}^2)$

Probit link is used to enable Gibbs sampling



Real data analysis



Data on UK Biobank participants Cognitive function and hearing tests Lifestyle, medical history, sociodemographic Health outcome data Physical measures Genotyping & imputation (n = 500,000)Environmental Web-based measures questionnaire data $(\sim 200,000)$ Urinary biomarkers Physical activity monitor (100,000) Genetic data via the EGA (500,000) Imaging (15,000+)

- 340K unrelated individuals of European ancestry
- 28 independent traits with large sample size (including 8 diseases)
- Adjust for age, sex and 10PCs
- 96 continuous and categorical SNP annotations from BaselineLDv2.2 (Gazal et al 2017 Nature Genetics)
- Random sample of 20K individuals of European ancestry as LD reference

Within European ancestry prediction



Benchmark is the prediction accuracy from SBayesR using 1M HapMap3 SNPs (dash line).





Prediction $R^2 = 0.4$ in height and 0.16 in BMI (~70% SNP-based heritability)



Trans-ancestry prediction





PolyPred-S (Weissbrod et al 2022 Nature Genetics)





Explore content V About the journal V Publish with us V

<u>nature</u> > <u>nature genetics</u> > <u>articles</u> > article

Article Published: 07 April 2022

Leveraging fine-mapping and multipopulation training data to improve cross-population polygenic risk scores



PolyPred-S is a variation of PolyPred with BOLT-LMM replaced by SBayesR estimates.



Use GWAS data from UKB EUR and BBJ (Biobank Japan) EAS to predict UKB EAS nature genetics





to predict UKB EAS







to predict UKB EAS





Improvement (%) in prediction accuracy for SBayesRC using annotations relative to that without annotations:

$$\frac{R_{\rm annot}^2 - R_{\rm wo}^2}{R_{\rm wo}^2}$$

Contributions of functional categories to prediction accuracy THE UNIVERSITY ULLENSIAND

Regions conserved across 29 mammals covers 3% genome but contributed 41% prediction accuracy!





19





С

CRICOS code:0000255B



Results are average values across traits using 4 CPU cores.

Method (No. SNPs)	Runtime (hours)	Memory (GB)	Storage (GB)
SBayesRC (7M)	9.5	75.1	130
LDpred-funct (7M)	6.0	120.6	40-50 per trait
PolyPred-S (7M)	19.8	71.7	2,800
LDpred2 (1M)	5.5	53.4	43
SBayesRC (1M)	1.2	7.8	5.6
SBayesR (1M)	0.5	27.0	22
PRS-CSx (1M)	14.2	4.7	5.6





- SBayesRC improves prediction accuracy by 14% in European ancestry and by up to 33% in trans-ancestry prediction, compared to the baseline method SBayesR which does not use annotations.
- SBayesRC outperforms state-of-the-art methods LDpred-funct, PolyPred-S and PRS-CSx by 12-15% in prediction accuracy.
- We identified a significant interaction between SNP density and annotation information, encouraging future use of whole-genome sequence variants for prediction.
- Functional partitioning analysis highlights a major contribution of evolutionary constrained regions to prediction accuracy.



GCTB software <u>https://cnsgenomics.com/software/gctb</u>

R package at https://github.com/zhilizheng/SBayesRC



bioRxiv posts many COVID19-related papers. A reminder: they have not been formally peer-reviewed and should not guide health-related behavior or be reported in the press as conclusive.

New Results

Follow this preprint

Leveraging functional genomic annotations and genome coverage to improve polygenic prediction of complex traits within and between ancestries

Zhili Zheng, Shouye Liu, Julia Sidorenko, Loic Yengo, Patrick Turley, Alireza Ani, Rujia Wang, Ilja M. Nolte, Harold Snieder, Lifelines Cohort Study, D Jian Yang, Naomi R Wray, Michael E Goddard, Peter M Visscher, Jian Zeng **doi:** https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.12.510418